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ABSTRACT

The requirement for a female Muslim to have a mahrām when traveling is not peculiar to ʿḥajj and ʿumrah alone. However, in the contemporary period, the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia has become very strict on such a condition, especially on ʿumrah and ʿḥajj. The legal implication of this system is that a female Muslim may not participate in ʿḥajj or ʿumrah if her mahrām cannot perform ʿḥajj or ʿumrah with her for various reasons. However, this study reveals that the result of such a strict condition has led operators of the ʿḥajj and ʿumrah to falsify data by applying for their visa through another male pilgrim who is not their legal mahrām. Eventually, such intending female pilgrims end up performing the ʿḥajj or ʿumrah with a group of trustworthy women or men, as has been rightly supported by a reasonable numbers of independent jurists. It is worthy of note that this practice of accompany with a mahrām for a travelling female Muslim originates from the statement of the Messenger of Allāh (SAW) due to the prevailing circumstances of the ummah at his time. The practice of his noble companions after him support this understanding. This paper

---
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analyzes the legal precedent and reasoning behind this condition and offers reasonable, practical and meaningful solutions.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Nigeria is a multi-religions country that has no established state religion. Section 10 of the 1999 Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, as amended, states thus:

"The Government of the Federation or of a State shall not adopt any religion as a State Religion..."

As a result, religious affiliation is not a selectable option provided as a requirement for the national census. This has made it difficult for somebody to give precise numbers for members of each religious group in Nigeria. However, considering that enrollment in schools, hospitals, and political parties show that the majority are Muslims, it could be said that this reflects the majority population of Nigeria as well. Further, it appears that the vast majority of Muslims are the Sunni and belong to the Mālikī School of Islamic Jurisprudence.

Among the non-Muslims, Christians and African Traditional Region worshippers (ATR) make up the remaining population. However, it can be stressed that there are only two religions in Nigeria-Islam and Christianity. Those who claim to follow ATR are actually either Muslim or Christian; they also bear either Muslim or Christian names alongside their more traditional ones. Islam dominated the northern part of Nigeria and had a considerable number of adherents in the south Western Nigeria who are mostly Yoruba by tribe.² Nigeria accounts for the largest Muslim population in Sub-Saharan Africa.

Politically, the Sultan of Sokoto is the Leader of Nigerian Muslims. He is the non-elected President of the Nigerian Supreme Council for Islamic Affairs (NSCIA) under whose authority all Muslim organisations operate in Nigeria. Since there is no official mufti (an expert Muslim jurist qualified to

² A. M. J. Elesin, ‘An Examination of the Yoruba Concept and Belief in Spiritual Beings within the Context of Revealed Theological Beliefs,’ *Journal of Languages, Literatures and Communication Studies (JOLLACS)*, 1/1 (2013), 161.
give religious verdict) in Nigeria, the NSCIA issues fatawa on certain issues concerning all Nigerian Muslims through their affiliated bodies.

However, it should be noted that the administration of *hajj* in Nigeria is not directly part of the NSCIA’s functions. Section 1 (1) (d) (iii) only requests a representative from the NSCIA for the Composition of the Commission.³

Ḥajj, or pilgrimage to Makkah, is the fifth pillar of Islam and an obligatory religious duty once in the lifetime for those Muslims who are physically and financially able. Prior to going on *hajj*, there are some major stipulations, one of which is the controversial issue of *maḥrām*, or a woman’s unmarriageable kin that must accompany her in public at all times. In the Nigerian context, the chosen legal school is the Mālikī *madhhab.*⁴ This school allows a group of trusted men or women to serve as an alternative *maḥrām* for Muslim women to travel to Makkah for *hajj*. Therefore, this paper calls on religious and government officials to analyse and review the *fiqh* rulings that are specific to the condition of *maḥrām* in order to make *hajj* more accessible for female Muslims. Thus, some of the issues that will be discussed in this study include: Is *maḥrām* a condition for the validity of a female’s *hajj*? And, what are the challenges facing Nigerian Muslim females who intend to perform *hajj*?

HISTORY OF ḤAJJ OPERATION OF NIGERIA

Pilgrimage in Nigeria is as old as Islam itself and can be dated back to the Kanem-Borno in the 8th century and later in Hausa in the 15th century.⁵ From this period till the early 20th century, pilgrimage affairs were under the control of private agents. The means of transportation back then were limited and travel was usually done by foot, thus making it quite hazardous and challenging. Subsequently, this made pilgrimage a slow and long journey with only a few completing it in less than two years.⁶ However, this all changed in 1953 when Abubakar Imam made a motion during a session of the House of

⁴ See e.g. s. 14 of the Sharia Court of Appeal Law (N. N. Laws 1963, Cap. 122) which empowers the Sharia Court of Appeal of each of the Northern States to administer Muslim Law of the Mālikī School.
Representative in Lagos for the establishment of a Nigerian Office in Jeddah which would be responsible for the welfare of Nigerian pilgrims in Saudi Arabia. The motion was supported and the terms of duties were subsequently approved by the Honorable House. Hence, every year a pilgrim commissioner was to be officially appointed to accompany Nigerian pilgrims and lead them throughout the *hajj*. Provisions for accommodation and foreign exchange procedures constituted part of their overall their duties. On becoming the Premier of the defunct Northern Region in 1954, the Late Sardauna of Sokoto became the first *Amīr al-Ḥajj* to lead the pilgrims to Mecca. In 1958, a Pilgrim Welfare Board was instituted by the Western Region government followed by another Pilgrim Board established by the Northern government. It should be noted that the activities of the Boards were limited to the provision of logistic support to the pilgrims. However, the assassination of the Sardauna of Sokoto in 1966 created a void in managing the *hajj* affairs. Hence, private agents took advantage of the lack of government services to fill their own coffers.

The number of pilgrims increased as *hajj* became more accessible, thus creating greater responsibilities for the government and private agents alike. It became apparent to the government that transporting thousands of pilgrims annually, accommodating them with provisions of welfare services in a foreign land etc., could no longer be left in the hand of private agents. The rise in the standard of living and travel, both locally and internationally, necessitated more extensive and efficient services for pilgrims. Therefore, the then Federal Government promulgated Decree No. 16 of 1975 and established the Nigerian Pilgrims Board under the Ministry of External Affairs. The body was given

---

the sole power of organising pilgrimage affairs in Nigeria.\textsuperscript{14} The Board was mandated to provide such services that would make the performance of \textit{hajj} less cumbersome.\textsuperscript{15} However, the fact that the Board was placed under the supervision of the Federal Bureaucracy crippled its performance.

By 1989, a new decree was put in place establishing the National \textit{Hajj} Commission with wider powers to correct the ineffectiveness of the Nigeria Pilgrim Board. In place of the monopoly enjoyed by the national carrier to airlift the pilgrims, the Commission was given the mandate to appoint any capable airline of its choosing to undertake the process of the airlift of the pilgrims. Meanwhile, the supervision of the Commission has constantly changed from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs to the President.

Unfortunately, the new Commission Board did not fare better than the prescribed Board in terms of performance. The inability of over 10,000 pilgrims to travel for \textit{hajj} in 2005, despite their full payment under the defunct Directorate of Pilgrim Affairs (DPA), was a classic case of policy-shift which should not be tolerated.\textsuperscript{16} Hence, National \textit{Hajj} Commission of Nigeria (NAHCON) was established though a Parliamentary Act which was signed into law in October 2006.\textsuperscript{17}

In all the thirty six states of the Federation and the Federal Capital Territory (FCT) Abuja, a structure has been put in place to oversee the smooth operation of \textit{hajj} administration and protect the interest of pilgrims. In some states, local government officers were appointed specifically to facilitate \textit{hajj} process.\textsuperscript{18} The intervention of NAHCON and its track-record of achievements is currently giving hope that \textit{hajj} can be better organised in Nigeria with minimal discomfort.

Nevertheless, there are some juristic issues which pose another challenge to the NAHCON. In 2012, Nigerian female pilgrims on \textit{hajj} were deported from Saudi Arabia, numbering 171 from Katsina and Taraba States. The returnees arrived in Medina Airport Tuesday night and landed in Nigeria again at about 5.30pm on Thursday. It was reported that the Saudi authorities denied them entry because they were not accompanied by their relatives (\textit{mahrām}) as demanded by the rules of pilgrimage to the Holy Land. It was also reported

\begin{itemize}
  \item Lawal Musa \textit{et al.}, ‘Challenges Facing Hajj Operation in Nigeria: A Way Forward’, 166.
  \item National Hajj Commission of Nigeria (NAHCON), (Establishment) Act, 2006.
  \item See Sec. 4 of the Commission Act.
\end{itemize}
that another batch of 1,000 Nigerian women were at the Saudi airport camp detention awaiting their deportation via the next available flight.\textsuperscript{19}

Executive Secretary, Kano Pilgrim Board, Alhaji Laminu Rabi’u, who was at Makia to receive the Nigerians, confirmed that 1,000 female pilgrims were still being held in Jeddah on the order of the Saudi government. He condemned Saudi Arabia for the deportation “since the pilgrims were in Saudi Arabia for the hajj operation, which is a yearly event.”\textsuperscript{20} Similarly, the then Nigerian President Goodluck Jonathan congratulated all Nigerians who successfully undertook the hajj that year despite the unforeseen difficulties which developed over the issue of male companions for some of the female pilgrims.\textsuperscript{21} Such an occurrence shows that the management of hajj affairs is multi-dimensional and requires serious attention.

REGISTRATION CHALLENGES FACING NIGERIAN FEMALE PILGRIMS

In the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, the hosting country of hajj, the Ḥanbalī madhhab is the strictly adopted school. Since traveling for hajj requires an entry visa to the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, the imposition of mahrām on every female intending to travel becomes an issue which has been generating different problems and challenges.

1. Registration Process\textsuperscript{22}

A female intending pilgrim must obtain a hajj form from a travel agency and submit it with relevant documents and the payment of prescribed fees. In the


\textsuperscript{20} Thewillnigeria.com, ‘Deported Nigerian Female Pilgrims Weep At Kano Airport.’


\textsuperscript{22} ‘Abdulwāsi‘ Gabadeen (Ph.D, Director of Operations Hajj Mabrur Ventures LTD, and Secretary General, Association for Hajj & ‘Umrah Operators of Nigeria (AHUON), MNIM, he is also a Senior Lecturer & Consultant, Department of Educational Management, Faculty of Education, University of Abuja, Abuja, Nigeria), in a written document on 14 March 2012; Sirajudīn Al-ʻAsrau Al-Bilal (Ph.D holder of Islamic Law, Director General, ‘Ināyatullah Travel and Tourism Agent, and one time Lecturer, HOD, Department of Islamic Studies, Al-Hikmah University, Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria), in interview with author through a phone call, on 22 March 2012.
event that she has no legitimate *maḥrām* within the group, the agent applies for a *ḥājj* visa electronically on her behalf, having no other option but to attach the woman’s application to any available male within the group for visa processing. On the visa page of this woman, the name of the proclaimed *maḥram* and his relationship with her shall appear on her passport. At the check-in counter, the airline will request to see her *maḥrām* personally for further confirmation. If there is any error detected during this process, the airline may deny her from boarding the aircraft. This exercise shall be repeated when the pilgrims are in transit, with non-compliance leading to deportation. An event that can nullify the entire pre-*ḥajj* process shall result in a substantial loss of money and time: the pilgrim will suffer psychologically and emotionally, the agent’s image will be damaged, the image of Nigeria as a country will be stained, and other numerous multi-faceted challenges.\(^{23}\)

2. Summary of the Challenges

i) Falsification of data leading to lying (*al-kasib*) indirectly;

ii) Promoting illicit conduct among the pilgrims on the premise of *maḥrām*;

iii) Cancellation of the female journey if the *maḥrām* decided not to travel after the issuance of *ḥajj* visa;

iv) Prolonged delay during transit due to cross examination by the airline of *maḥrām*’s data on the visa page;

v) Outright entry denial to the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia at Jeddah airport by immigration;

vi) Congestion at Jeddah airport due to delay in passing through the immigration process;

vii) Mix-up at the embassy during visa processing;

viii) Non-performance of *ḥajj* in one’s favourable time due to absence of a legitimate *maḥrām*.

3. Implications

i) Negative spiritual implications by falsifying data due to eagerness to perform the ǧājj;

ii) Negative socio-economic implications due to unlawful interaction between a male and female pilgrim and loss of money and energy invested in the journey if the pilgrim is denied entrance at transit or port of entry to the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia;

iii) Negative political implications due to it indirectly affecting the image of Nigeria when a bilateral agreement had been signed between the two countries;

iv) Negative religious implications due to non-recognition of reasonable opinions of other schools of Islamic law being practiced in other Muslim majority countries;

v) Negative technological implications affecting Airport logistics due to non-compliance of ICT-driven operations as a result of physical examination of maḥrām.

STUDYING AL-MAḤRĀM AS A NECESSARY CONDITION OR REQUIREMENT WARRANTING PERFORMANCE OF ḤAJJ

Among the questions that ordinarily come to mind here are: what is the concept of al- maḥrām? Is it an obligatory condition on a female going on ǧājj that she must have a husband or a dhu al-maḥrām?

1. Notion of Dhu al-Maḥrām in Islamic Law?

Al-Maḥrām in the Shariah refers to a woman’s husband or any relative prohibited from marriage. Anyone who fits within this category may accompany her on a travel. The relatives forbidden for her to marry include immediate blood ties, family relationships, or relationship by lawful means like marriage. This includes her father, son, brothers, uncles, and brother by suckling from the same wet nurse.24

2. Rulings Regarding Woman Performing *Hajj* without a *Maḥrām*

Generally, there are five major conditions before *hajj* becomes compulsory upon someone: (1) That the person be Muslim, (2) That they have reached the age of discernment (*bulūgh*), (3) That they be mentally capable (*ʼaql*), (4) That they are free and not a slave (*hurriyyah*), and (5) That they are financially and physically capable (*istiṭāʻah*) of completing the journey to *hajj*. There is no difference of opinion among the independent jurists of Islamic law regarding these conditions.25

Both males and females share these conditions. However, in the process of interpreting the concept of *istiṭāʻah* (capability or ability), differences of opinion arose as regard to whether Muslim women have an extra condition before they can be held accountable for not performing *hajj*. This condition is that of an accompanying *maḥrām*. The following positions of Islamic jurists clarify this matter in detail:26

i) In the Ḥanafī school of legal thought, *hajj* is not compulsory upon a woman who does not find a *maḥrām* or husband to accompany her. Hence, the availability of a willing husband or a *maḥrām* to accompany her to *hajj* is a condition for the obligation.27

ii) The Ḥanbalī school holds an opinion similar to that of the Ḥanafiyyah. To them, *hajj* is not obligatory upon a woman who does not find a *maḥrām* or husband to travel with her. In fact, Imam Ahmad specifically commented on this issue, as Abū Dāwud stated: “I said to Ahmad: ‘A wealthy woman, who does not find a *maḥrām* to travel with her to perform *hajj*, is *Hajj al-Wājib* (obligatory) upon her?’ He said, ‘No’.”28

---


26 Usually, the applied method in mentioning the schools of legal thought academically is to arrange them in descending order, i.e. downwards from the senior one. But the method would be skipped here for consideration of common idea.


iii) The opinion of the Mālikī school is that a mahrām or husband is recommended and compulsory, but that there may be an alternative condition. The alternative is that a Muslim woman who does not find a mahrām is allowed to travel with a secure group. The Mālikiyah add that this secure group may be a group of pious and devout men, or a group of trustworthy female companions, or a group made up of both men and women. This same opinion is reported (riwāyah) from Imam Ahmad. It was reported by al-Athram, one of Imam Ahmad’s famous disciples, that a mahrām is not a condition for Ḥajj al-Wājib (first obligatory hajj). Al-Athram said: “I had Imam Ahmad being asked: ‘Is a man valid as mahrām for his mother-in-law (mother of his wife), so, he accompanies her to hajj? But for al-Ḥajj al-Wājib (first compulsory hajj), I do hope so, because she can even travel for compulsory hajj within the company of women alone, or with everyone she trusts, but for non-compulsory hajj, she cannot.’ Imam Ahmad replied.” However, the previous opinion is the popular view of the Ḥanbali madhhhab.

iv) The Shāfi‘ī school expresses a similar opinion to that of the Mālikiyah. They also state that hajj is not obligatory upon a woman until she finds a mahrām or her husband or a group of trusted women. If she finds any of these three, it is obligatory upon her to perform hajj. If she cannot find one of the three, she is not obliged to perform the hajj.

Furthermore, in the popular opinion of the Shāfi‘ī school, it is permissible for a woman to perform hajj if she finds only one trusted woman to take on the journey. More so, they say it is permissible for her to travel alone if she is guaranteed to be safe and she fears nothing on the way. This is how they understand the aḥādīth which forbid a woman from traveling alone.

However, if she has already performed her first obligatory hajj and then intends a voluntary performance, then she is not permitted to travel alone - she must be accompanied by her husband or a mahrām. In this case, traveling with a group of trusted women is not permitted; this is the more correct position in the Shāfi‘ī madhhhab.

---


It should be noted that, the condition that the Mālikiyah and Shāfiʻiyyah hold for a woman to perform ḥajj is that she must be able to perform the journey securely. This security can be found when a husband or a mahrām or a group of trusted men or women accompanies her.

A critical look at the above discussion on the jurists’ opinions regarding the ruling of women performing ḥajj without a mahrām relates specifically to the question of whether istiṭāʻah (capability) should be restricted to her having a willing husband or dhu al-mahrām to accompany her to perform ḥajj? Two major opinions could be derived from this. The Ḥanafiyyah and Ḥanbaliyyah hold the same opinion that a mahrām is an obligatory condition for a females’ ḥajj with no alternative. On the other hand, the Mālikiyah and Shāfiʻiyyah opine that, in addition to a mahrām, a trusted group of women or men is also a valid alternative. Their arguments and proofs are the following:32

1) Al-Istiṭāʻah (capability) for ḥajj includes the husband or mahrām in the case of a female’s ḥajj. Hence, an able female Muslim upon whom performing ḥajj is obligatory is one who has a mahrām. The Ḥanafiyyah also hold this view. It is also popular opinion in the Ḥanbalī school, supported by the likes of al-Ḥasan al-Basrī, Sufyān al-Thawrī, al-Tāwus, al-Nakhaʻī, Isḥāq, and Ibn al-Munthīr. Some Nigerian writers also support this opinion.33 It should be noted that none of the noble companions (RA) were reported to have supported this view.

The proponents of this view have advanced four proofs in support of it. The first is the proscription about a woman traveling alone without her husband or a mahrām. This is authentically established in aḥādīth reported by Abū Saʻīd al-Khudrī, Abū Hurayrah, Ibn ʻ Ibni, and Ibn ‘nd I (RA). The content of one narration is as follows:32

---


It is not permitted for a woman to travel except in accompany of her mahram. It is equally haram (forbidden) for a man to enter into her except in the presence of her mahram. A man hence enquired thus: “O Messenger of Allāh (SAW)! Can I intend to go out with so-and-so army, while my wife went for ḥajj?” The Messenger of Allāh (SAW) said: “Accompany her.”

However, the opponents of this opinion argue against the efficacy or relevance of using this hadith to prohibit women from traveling for ḥajj alone. They argue that it is more relevant to support the validity of women traveling to ḥajj without their husband or mahram, because the Messenger of Allāh (SAW) did not rule that she should return nor did he fault, blame, or condemn her traveling alone. Thus, it is important to note that the Messenger of Allāh (SAW) did not declare her sinful nor pronounce her action as wrong.

The second proof advanced by the proponents is the hadith reported by Ibn ʻAbbās RA which states:

A man arrived to al-Madīnah, then the Messenger of Allāh (SAW) said to him: “Where did you stay in?”. At so-and-so’s (female) host, replied he. The Messenger of Allāh (SAW) now said: “She had closed her door against you. A woman cannot perform hajj except in the company of her mahram...”

Furthermore, using qiyas (analogy), the opposing scholars argue from this narration that the woman commenced a journey from within the Islamic

---


37 Al-Qiyās is juristic analogical or reasoning deduction.
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state without fulfilling the condition of having a mahrām, hence, her ĥajj was invalid, just like voluntary ḥajj is invalid without a mahrām.

Another proof used is in regards to it being impermissible for a woman to ascend on a camel nor descend from it, rather she requires assistance, which can only be done by either her husband or a mahrām. This renders her to become disable, hence the Quranic verse 97 of Surah Āli-ʻImrān does not cover her.

Generally, the Mālikiyyah and Shāfiʻiyyah debated the above proofs in various ways, focusing on contentions evidences such as the ḥadīth forbidding women from traveling without mahrām and whether it was a general ruling or could be particularized by other authoritative texts. There were also discussions on whether or not it could be contextualized as lawful regarding non-obligatory journeys such as traveling for trading, visitation, voluntary ĥajj and anything else apart from the first obligatory ĥajj. That ḥadīth might also be restricted to periods of insecurity.\(^{38}\)

Ibn Ḥajar al-ʻAsqalānī (d. 852 A.H), in his Fath al-Bārī, stated that since it is the consensus of the independent jurists that the generality of the aḥādīth forbidding women from traveling without a mahrām is particularized (makhsūs), traveling for compulsory ĥajj must be excluded from forbidden forms of travel.\(^{39}\)

The second opinion expressed by the Mālikiyyah and the Shāfiʻiyyah is that istiṭā‘ah (capability) is a condition for ĥajj to become compulsory. However, it does not extend to include the condition of needing mahrām in the case of a woman’s ĥajj. Hence, a woman Muslim able to perform ĥajj is one who has her husband, a mahrām, or a group of trusted women or men. If she finds any of these, it is obligatory upon her to perform ĥajj. If she cannot find one of the aforementioned, she is not obliged to perform the ĥajj. This is the view of Ibn Sīrin and Al-Awsā‘iy. It is also the opinion of many companions like ʻĀ‘ishah RA, ʻUmar Ibn al-Khaṭāb RA, ʻUthmān Ibn ʻAffān RA, ʻAbd al-Raḥmān Ibn ʻAwf RA, etc.\(^{40}\) Abubakar Umar Abubakar, a researcher at the Abdullahi ibn Fodi’s Library, Usmanu Dan Fodio University, Sokoto, Nigeria,

\(^{39}\) Ibn Ḥajar, Fath al-Bārī, vol. 4, 90.
\(^{40}\) Ibn Ḥajar, Fath al-Bārī, vol. 4, 90-91.
reports that this is the conventional practice of NAHCON. Some Nigerian writers support this opinion.

The proofs upon which these two schools of thought base their argument are where Allāh SWT says:

وَيَنْهَى عَلَى الْمَآبِيْضِ جَهَّالُ الْكَبِيرِ مِنْ أَسْتَطَاعَ إِلَيْهِ سَيْبَلاً

“And (due) to Allāh from the people is a pilgrimage to the house for whoever can afford the expenses…”

(Surah Āli-ʻImrān, 3: 97)

That is, who can afford for transport, provision, and residence. The word mankind (al-nās) covers both males and females with the same degree without disagreement among the scholars. Once a woman possesses means of transportation then she is considered able. And if she is with group of trusted women through which her dignity or nobility are secure and protected then she must perform compulsory hajj.

However, the opponents of this view argue that the generality of the verse is restricted by those aḥādīth (plural) forbidding females from traveling alone.

Another proof is the authoritative ḥadīth of Umm al-Mu`minīn ‘Ā’ishah RA, which states that:

عن عائشة أم المؤمنين رضي الله عنها، قالت: قلت يا رسول الله، أل نغزو ، »لكنّ أحسن الجهاد وأجمله الحجّ، حجّ مبرور
فقالت عائشة: فلا أدع الحجّ بعد إذ سمعت هذا من رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم.


**Juristic Study on Conditionality of *al-Maḥrām* for Nigerian Female Ḥajj (Pilgrim): Implications and Solutions**

Umm al-Mu’minīn ‘Ā’ishah RA said: I said: “O Messenger of Allāh (SAW) shall we (women) participate in ghazw (strike) and jihād (struggle) with you (plural, i.e. with men warriors)?” He replied: “For you (women) the best and preferable jihād is ḥajj; a genuine ḥajj. On that account, Umm al-Mu’minīn ‘Ā’ishah RA said: “I shall never desist from performing ḥajj after I heard this from the Messenger of Allāh (SAW)…”

The ḥadīth infers that ḥajj is the more preferred, indeed the more correct version if *jihad* for women. For this reason Umm al-Mu’minīn ‘Ā’ishah RA vowed never to cease going for ḥajj. Also, she traveled without a *maḥrām*, as reported in the above ḥadīth, which serves as another proof advanced by the proponents.

Imam al-Bukhārī reported that Amīr al-Mu’minīn (the Leader and Commander of Believers) ‘Umar Ibn al-Khaṭṭāb RA had given permission to the wives of the Messenger of Allāh (SAW) during the last ḥajj he performed, delegating both ‘Uthmān Ibn ‘Affān and ‘Abd al-Raḥmān Ibn ‘Awf RA to accompany them. It is important to note that this permission was acceded after many years of ‘Umar’s reservation over the issue, but later he became convinced by strong evidences that it was permissible. Also, this permission was given in the presence of other companions (RA) yet not one objection was reported. In addition to this fact, their silence should neither be doubtful nor be suspicious. It is equally noteworthy that neither ‘Uthmān nor ‘Abd al-Raḥmān were considered *maḥārim* to the wives.

However, this fact remains questionable, because though they may not be their *maḥārim*, the concept of *maḥrām* still remains, due to the fact that marriage to any of the Messenger’s wives is permanently prohibited. This argument was therefore dismissed because no one regards a male Muslim as a *maḥrām* to the wives of the Messenger of Allāh (SAW). Had they been considered *maḥrām*, ‘Uthmān Ibn ‘Affān RA who was reported saying: “No one shall come closer to them nor look unto them”, would not have said this whenever he traveled with them.

---

The above narrations could simply denote that the essence of the company of a mahram with a woman traveler is for maximum care, protection, attention, etc. This without doubt, can only be adequately ensured by a husband or a mahram. Nevertheless, where the company of husband or a mahram becomes unfeasible or impracticable, a group of trusted women or men is the only other alternative. This is exactly what ‘Umar Ibn al-Khaṭṭāb did by delegating the two notable companions - ‘Uthmān Ibn ‘Affān and ‘Abd al-Raḥmān Ibn ‘Awf RA - to accompany the wives of the Messenger of Allāh (SAW) to ḥajj.

Similarly, it was reported by ‘Ā’ishah RA that the wives of the Messenger of Allāh (SAW) sought permission from ‘Uthmān Ibn ‘Affān RA during his tenure to travel for hajj. It was granted, and he himself accompanied them all except Zaynab RA who had died, and Sa’udah RA who never left her house since the death of the Messenger of Allāh (SAW).

Ibn Sa‘d also reported with sound isnād (chain of narration) that the wives of the Messenger of Allāh (SAW) went for hajj in the year 50 AH during the tenure of al-Mughirah, who was the governor of al-Kūfah for al-Mu‘āwiyyah RA. Abū Hurayrah RA confirmed that the wives of the Messenger of Allāh (SAW) went for hajj. Ibn Ḥajar commented that all these narrations are strong proofs supporting the legality of a woman going for hajj in the company of whom she trusts, even if it is not her husband or a mahram.

Another proof is the hadīth of ‘Abd Allāh Ibn ‘Abbās RA which states:

When the Messenger of Allāh (SAW) came back from his ḥajj, he said to Umm Sinān al-Ansāriyyah RA: “What stopped you from performing hajj?!” She replied: “Father of so-and-so (to mean her husband) had two camels, he rode on one to hajj while the second one was wetting our farm land”. The Messenger of Allāh (SAW) then said: “Surely, performing umrah during Ramaḍān is equivalent to a ḥajj with me...”

---

The relevance of the above narration to the issue at hand is that the Messenger of Allāh (SAW) accepted her excuse of lacking a riding camel as genuine. He then went further to encourage her to perform *hajj* for the subsequent *Ramaḍān*. The implication is that doing so will lead to her travel alone, riding the camel without her husband, since the second camel will be busy in their farm.

Another proof advanced is the sound *ḥadīth* of ʻAbd Allāh Ibn ʻUmar RA, which states:

> جاء رجل إلى النّبيّ صلّ الله عليه وسلّم فقال: يا رسول الله، ما يوجب الحجّ؟ قال: «الزّاد والرّاحلة» وفي رواية: فقام رجل آخر فقال: ما السبيل يا رسول الله؟ قال: «الزّاد والرّاحلة»

*A man stood up before the Messenger of Allāh (SAW) and enquired him about what makes *hajj* to become compulsory (upon someone). He (SAW) replied: “It is the provision (al-zād) and a riding camel (al-rāhlah).” [That is, any means of transport in the modern age]. In another version the man asked: “What is al-sabil (course or means)?” And the Messenger of Allāh’s response was the same.*

This *ḥadīth* implies that only provision and transport make *hajj* compulsory and nothing else. However, opponents have argued against the authenticity of this *ḥadīth*. In another authentic *ḥadīth* reported by ʻAdî Ibn Hātim RA, it states:

> قال: لم أرها، وقد أنبئت عنها، «يا عديّ، هل رأيت الحيرة؟» فقال: إن طالت بك حياة، لترين الظّعينة ترتحل من الحيرة، حتّى تطوف بالكعبة ل تخاف أحدا إلّ الله

This *ḥadīth* implies that only provision and transport make *hajj* compulsory and nothing else. However, opponents have argued against the authenticity of this *ḥadīth*. In another authentic *ḥadīth* reported by ʻAdî Ibn Hātim RA, it states:

> فقال: «يا عديّ، هل رأيت الحيرة؟» قلت: لم أرها، وقد أنبئت عنها، قال «إذا طالت بك حياة، لترين الطّعينة ترتحل من الحيرة، حتّى تطوف بالكعبة لا تخاف أحدا إلّ الله

---

"The Messenger of Allāh (SAW) said: O ‘Adī! If your life lasts, you shall surely witness al-za‘īnah travelling from al-Hirah all the way until she (reaches Mecca) performs tawāf (revolves) around the Ka‘bah, fearing no one except Allāh..." 55

This hadīth also infers that a Muslim woman can go for hajj without a mahram.

The Ḥanafīyyah and the Ḥanābilah rejected these proofs with the authentic aḥādīth that forbade a woman from traveling alone. It is true, they say, that the hadīth of ‘Adī is authentic, but it was a statement of the Messenger of Allāh (SAW) that does not amount to him sanctioning the act. Rather, it was an account to ‘Adī of what would happen in the future, just as he had informed us about the coming out of al-dajjāl (liars), which does not denote a permission to lie. As Imam al-Shawkānī said, it is more befitting to take the hadīth to mean that such a thing would happen not that it is permissible. This is necessary, so that there would be no contradiction between it and the aḥādīth that forbid women from traveling alone.56

However, Imam al-Nawawī has debunked this debate in the following manner: there is no comparison between the two, because the hadīth al-dajjāl was mentioned in sequence of condemning innovations while the hadīth ‘Adī in its own case is mentioned relating to the praise, nobility, and power of Islam, hence it cannot be an unlawful act. Ibn Ḥajar supported this view.57

Furthermore, the athār of Umm al-Mu‘minīn ‘Ā’ishah RA states: “not every woman has a mahram”58 is another proof.

They also cited and supported their position by qiyās, comparing a woman traveling alone for hajj to a woman who reverts to Islam in the

---

54 al-Za‘īnah is an ordinary woman or a woman in a special sedan. al-Hirah is an ancient city in Kūfa (in ‘Iraq), also called: Naysābūrī. See for meaning of (al-dha‘īnah) and (al-Hirah) in Ibn al-Athīr, al-Nihāyah fī Gharīb al-Aḥādīth wa al-Athār, vol. 3, ed. Ṭahīr Ṭaḥīr Al-Zāwī (Beirūt: al-Maktabah al-‘Ilmiyyah, 1979), 350, and vol. 1, 1095, respectively.

55 al-Bukhārī, Sahīh al-Bukhārī, no. hadīth 3595.


land of the disbelievers, or a Muslim woman who may have escaped from their imprisonment. In both cases, there is unanimous agreement that she is permitted to travel alone. So they said: she is embarking on a compulsory journey hence, a mahrām is not a condition like a female Muslim who gets freedom from the custody of disbelievers.59

It is understood from the above that the jurists agreed that istiṭā‘ah (capability) is conditioned for ḥajj to become compulsory upon someone. Their disagreement arose only in the process of understanding the concept and scope of the capability which Allāh mentioned as condition for ḥajj to become compulsory.

The reason for the differences in their opinion generates from what seems to be a conflict between the unqualified command for ḥajj together with generic noun of people (al-nās) in the verse of Surah Āli-ʻImrān (3: 97) which includes both male and female to the same degree, and taking up travel for ḥajj with the proscription about a woman’s travelling alone without her husband or a mahrām. Those who upheld the generality of the word people (al-nās) and the unlimited command gave predominance to it and said that a woman may travel for ḥajj even when she is not accompanied by husband or a mahrām, but with a trustworthy group of women or men for her assistance. Meanwhile, those who restricted the general implication with the Prophetic traditions, or held that it is an elaboration of ‘ability’, said that she is not allowed to travel for ḥajj, unless she is accompanied by her husband or a mahrām.60

3. Preferred Opinion

Without giving preference to one opinion, this discussion is incomplete. Hence, after an intensive study of the different views of jurists, and thorough extermination of their proofs, it is clear that the proofs of both parties are mostly genuine, but generic. This necessitates searching for external proofs to validate one over the other. Thus, followings are qualifying proofs for minimising the conclusions drawn from the aḥādīth forbidding female from voluntary travel alone:

1) The permission later granted by ‘Umar al-Khaṭṭāb (RA) after his long reservation;

---

2) The approval of ʻUthmān Ibn ʻAffān, ʻAbd al-Raḥmān Ibn ʻAwf, and other companions (RA) that were present to review ‘Umar’s decision;

3) The practice of Umm al-Mu‘minīn ʻĀ‘ishah RA and the remaining wives of the Messenger of Allāh (SAW) who kept on going to ḥajj after his death.

The above summary denotes that forbidding female from traveling without a husband or a maḥrām is not al-taʻabbudī (devoutness or godliness), but rather it is maʿqul al-maʿna (reasonable or logical). It was proscribed due to Islamic law’s recognition of gender roles. Women are generally by nature the weaker sex and below males physically, and perhaps men are in better control of their emotions. Hence, the Shariah aims at protecting her while traveling by making it obligatory for her husband or a maḥrām to accompany her. It is also helpful so as to block out any possible immorality that may result if she travels alone. Where such is not possible, then a trustworthy group of women or pious men is the most obvious alternative.

CONCLUSION

The ultimate objective of this paper is to provide legal and legitimate alternative solution to the process of women traveling for ḥajj. No doubt, a woman traveling for ḥajj in the company of her husband or a maḥrām is the preference. However, where that is not possible, the alternative should be a group of trusted women or men, rather than trickery and deception by personal agents. All stakeholders, including the authorities, decision-makers, travel agencies, etc. are guilty and responsible for allowing Muslim women to travel through trickery. But traveling with a trusted group of people attracts no illegality, and thus it is not sinful.

Al-Maḥrām however, is a condition only for the performance of ḥajj and not as an obligation. This implies that, if a woman is physically and financially able, she is obliged to perform ḥajj and needs to be accompanied by her husband or a maḥrām before she can travel. However, if she decides to travel in company of trusted group of women or men for ḥajj, her ḥajj is valid and sound. Equally, her act is not sinful according to the preferred legal opinion.
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The researcher is aware of the great desire and aspiration of many Nigerian female Muslims to perform ḥajj in the nearest time before the under-listed conditions are applied. Thus, the application of the principle of al-ḍarūrah (necessity) on them may be suggested, pending the time in which a final solution may be achieved.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1) The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia should generously sponsor the World Conference of Fuqahāhu to deliberate on the issue of the condition of maḥrām in line with contemporary challenges and technological advances;

2) The Nigerian Supreme Council for Islamic Affairs on its own part should also humbly do the same as above;

3) The government of Nigeria through the National Hajj Commission of Nigeria (NAHCON) should explore the bilateral agreement between Nigeria and Saudi Arabia in proffering legitimate solutions to the challenges of maḥrām for Nigerian female pilgrims;

4) Groups of female Muslims to the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia for the performance of ḥajj or ʻumrah should be promoted as a solution to this problem;

5) Islamic scholars should educate intending pilgrims on the danger of illicit behaviours during ḥajj and promote the Islamic culture of relationships between opposite sexes;

6) The concerned pilgrim boards, travel agencies, and other related bodies should carry out their duties and responsibilities to the satisfaction of Islamic law;

7) Respect for the sanctity of al-Ḥarām al-Makkī wa al-Madani is a collective responsibility, hence we should promote Islamic options that we can easily implement in any situation with more than one option based on the statement of ‘Ā’ishah Umm al-Mu’minin (RA), “not every woman has a maḥrām”.
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**Statutes**


**Interviews**

‘Abdulwāsī‘ Gabadeen (Ph.D, Director of Operations *Hajj Mabrur* Ventures LTD, and Secretary General, Association for *Hajj & ’Umrah* Operators of Nigeria (AHUON), MNIM, he is also a Senior Lecturer & Consultant, Department of Educational Management, Faculty of Education, University of Abuja, Abuja, Nigeria), in a written document on 14 March 2012.

Sirajudīn Al-‘Asrau Al-Bilal (Ph.D holder of Islamic Law, Director General, *‘Ināyatullah* Travel and Tourism Agent, and one time Lecturer, HOD, Department of Islamic Studies, *Al-Hikmah* University, Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria), in interview with author through a phone call, on 22 March 2012.